

ON EXPONENTIAL SUMS

by Ricardo García López ¹

0. Introduction.

(0.1) Let k denote a finite field with $q = p^s$ elements, let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial and let $\Psi : \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ be a non-trivial additive character. Consider the exponential sum:

$$S(\Psi, f) = \sum_{x \in k^n} \Psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(f(x))).$$

This sum admits the following cohomological interpretation (see [7, Sommes trig.], [9, (3.5.4)]): Let $H_f \subseteq \mathbf{A}_k^{n+1} = \mathrm{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]$ be the hypersurface given by the equation $t^p - t = f$. The projection map $H_f \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^n$ gives a Galois covering of $\mathbf{A}_k^n$ (an Artin-Schreier covering) with Galois group the additive group $\mathbf{F}_p$. Fix a prime $l \neq p$ and a finite extension E_λ of $\mathbf{Q}_l$ containing the p -roots of unity, fix an immersion $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p) \hookrightarrow E_\lambda$. One can regard Ψ as a character on $\mathbf{F}_p$ with values on E_λ^* and, extending the structure group of the torsor H_f by means of Ψ , one obtains an E_λ -sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_k^n$ which will be denoted $\mathcal{F}_k(f)$. From now on, a scheme over k (or a sheaf defined on such a scheme) will be denoted by a subindex k , the suppression of this subindex will mean that we have extended scalars to a fixed algebraic closure of k that will be denoted K , thus we have $\mathcal{F}(f) := \mathcal{F}_k(f) \otimes_k K$. Notice that if we take the polynomial $x \in k[x]$ and we set $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}(x)$, then for any polynomial f (regarded as a map $f : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$) one has that $\mathcal{F}(f) = f^* \mathcal{L}$.

The cohomological interpretation of $S(\Psi, f)$ follows now from Grothendieck's trace formula (cf. [7, pg. 174]). One has:

$$S(\Psi, f) = \sum_i (-1)^i \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{F}, H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f))),$$

where H_c^* denotes cohomology with compact supports and Tr denotes the trace of the Frobenius morphism $\mathrm{F} : H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) \rightarrow H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f))$.

(0.2) Set $d = \deg(f)$, let $f = f_d + f_{d-1} + \dots$ be the decomposition of f into homogeneous components and let $X_f^i \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ be the projective hypersurface

¹Partially supported by the DGCYT, PB95-0274.

defined by the form f_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$). In [3, Théorème (8.4)] (see also [4, (3.7)]), P. Deligne applies his solution of the Weil conjecture to prove the following theorem:

Theorem (Deligne): *With the notations above, assume:*

i) X_f^d is non-singular.

ii) $\gcd(d, p) = 1$.

Then:

i) $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) = 0$ if $i \neq n$.

ii) $\dim H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) = (d-1)^n$ and $H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f))$ is pure of weight n (i.e., all eigenvalues of the Frobenius action on this vector space have absolute value $q^{n/2}$).

iii) $\|S(\Psi, f)\| \leq (d-1)^n q^{n/2}$

Notice that iii) follows from i) and ii) in view of Grothendieck's trace formula. According to Katz ([9, pg.151]), this theorem answers a question posed by Mordell and later also by Bombieri.

(0.3) In this paper we give bounds for the exponential sums $S(\Psi, f)$ in cases where the variety X_f^d is singular, at the price of additional (but explicit) restrictions on the characteristic of k . Before we state our main result, we first describe the singularities that we are going to allow on X_f^d .

Definition: Let $g \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial, δ a positive integer. We will say that g is weighted homogeneous of total degree δ if there are positive integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ (called the weights) with $\gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 1$ and such that for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda \in K$ one has:

$$g(\lambda^{\alpha_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\alpha_n} x_n) = \lambda^\delta g(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Let X be an algebraic variety over K , let $x \in X$ be a closed point. We will say that X has a weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularity at x (whhs for short) if there is an isomorphism of K -algebras

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h \simeq \frac{K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}{(g)}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ denotes the henselianization of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and g is a weighted homogeneous polynomial. If δ is the total degree of g we will say that δ is a total degree of the (étale) germ (X, x) . If the singularity of X at x is isolated (i.e. X is smooth in a punctured étale neighborhood of x), as usual we will denote by μ the Milnor number of (X, x) , that is,

$$\mu = \dim_K \frac{K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}{(\partial g / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial g / \partial x_n)}.$$

One has to be aware of the fact that, unlike in the complex case, in general the number μ is not the dimension of a space of vanishing cycles associated to the smoothing of (X, x) given by the equation g .

The Milnor number depends of course on the germ (X, x) , but not on the polynomial g . This follows from [5, Exposé XVI, (1.1) and (1.3)] and also from the following lemma, which we recall here since it will be (implicitly) used several times in this paper:

Lemma: *Let K be a field, let $g, h \in K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be such that one has an isomorphism of K -algebras*

$$\frac{K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}{(g)} \simeq \frac{K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}{(h)}.$$

Then there is a K -automorphism Φ of $K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $\Phi(g) = h$.

The proof given in the complex case by Loojienga in [11, Chap. I, Lemma (1.7)] works verbatim for an arbitrary field K .

As in the case of complex singularity germs, whhs include a number of interesting families of singularities. For example, it follows from [2] that if $\text{char}(K) > 5$ then all rational double points are whhs (since in this case they are given by the same normal forms as in the complex case).

In this paper we prove the following:

(0.4) **Theorem:** Let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial and $\Psi : \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ a non-trivial additive character. With the notations described above, assume:

- i) X_f^d has at most weighted homogeneous isolated singularities. If $\text{Sing}(X_f^d) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$, let μ_i denote the Milnor number of (X_f^d, x_i) and let δ_i be a total degree for this germ ($1 \leq i \leq s$).
- ii) $x_i \notin X_f^{d-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.
- iii) $\gcd(p, d(d-1)\delta_1 \dots \delta_s) = 1$.

Then:

- i) $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) = 0$ if $i \neq n$.
- ii) $\dim H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) = (d-1)^n - \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i$ and $H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f))$ is pure of weight n .
- iii) $\|S(\Psi, f)\| \leq ((d-1)^n - \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i) \cdot q^{n/2}$.

(0.5) *Examples:*

a) *Sums in two variables:* Let $f \in k[x, y]$ an homogeneous polynomial of degree d . In $K[x, y]$ we have a decomposition

$$f_d(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^l (\alpha_i x - \beta_i y)^{n_i}.$$

Let $f \in k[x, y]$ be any polynomial of highest degree form f_d and such that if f_{d-1} is its homogeneous component of degree $d-1$ then $f(\beta_i, \alpha_i) \neq 0$ whenever $n_i > 1$. Then, if $\gcd(p, d(d-1)\prod_{i=1}^l n_i) = 1$ one gets the bound

$$\|S(\Psi, f)\| \leq ((d-1)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l (n_i - 1)) \cdot q.$$

b) *Arrangements of lines:* Let $l_1, \dots, l_d \in k[x, y, z]$ be distinct linear forms defining an arrangement of lines in $\mathbf{P}_k^2$. Set $f_d = l_1 \dots l_d$ and let $f \in k[x, y, z]$ be any polynomial with highest degree form f_d and such that its homogeneous component of degree $d-1$ defines a plane curve that does not pass through any of the intersection points of the lines.

Let n_i denote the number of points where exactly i lines meet. Then, if $\gcd(p, d(d-1) \prod_{n_i > 0} i) = 1$, one gets from (0.4) the bound:

$$\|S(\Psi, f)\| \leq ((d-1)^3 - \sum_{i \geq 1} n_i(i-1)) \cdot q^{3/2}.$$

In particular, if the arrangement is generic (i.e. no more than two lines meet at a point), one has:

$$\|S(\Psi, f)\| \leq ((d-1)^3 - \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}) \cdot q^{3/2}$$

under the assumption that $\gcd(p, d(d-1)) = 1$.

Other extensions of Deligne's theorem are proved in [9, (5.1.1)] and [1], the last generalized in [6, Theorem (9.2)]. These theorems neither imply nor are implied by theorem (0.4) above. The proof of (0.4) is partially inspired by the results in [8] about the monodromy at infinity of polynomials (with complex coefficients). The rest of the paper is devoted to it.

1. Reduction to the case $f = f_d + x_n^{d-1}$.

(1.1) Let $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial satisfying the assumptions of (0.4). Let $k \subseteq k'$ be a finite extension of k such that in $\mathbf{P}_{k'}^{n-1}$ there is a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ which intersects transversally the projective hypersurface X_f^d . Let φ be a linear automorphism of $k'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which sends H to the hyperplane $x_n = 0$. Let $f' = \varphi(f) = f'_d + \dots$ and set $g = f'_d + x_n^{d-1}$.

We claim that if $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(g))$ is pure of weight n , so is $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f'))$, and these E_λ -vector spaces have the same dimension for all $i \geq 0$. In order to prove this claim, we adapt to our situation a construction of Deligne (cf. [3, (8.10)], [4, (3.7.2)]): Let S' be the affine space over k' which parametrizes polynomials in n variables of degree d with fixed highest degree form f'_d . Let $S \subseteq S'$ be the open subset corresponding to polynomials h such that $\text{Sing}(X_h^d) \cap X_h^{d-1} = \emptyset$. Let $F_S \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ be the universal polynomial over S . It defines a corresponding Artin-Schreier sheaf $\mathcal{F}(F_S)$ over $S \times \mathbf{A}_{k'}^n$, let $\pi : S \times \mathbf{A}_{k'}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{k'}^n$ be the projection map. Then one has:

(1.2) **Lemma:** *The sheaves $R^i \pi_! \mathcal{F}(F_S)$ are locally constant for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof: It follows closely that of [4, (3.7.3)], we detail the proof as long as differences appear: We embed $\mathbf{A}_{k'}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k'}^n$, let V_∞ be the hyperplane at infinity and denote by $j : S \times \mathbf{A}_{k'}^n \hookrightarrow S \times \mathbf{P}_{k'}^n$ the inclusion map. We claim that, locally for the étale topology, the S -scheme $S \times \mathbf{P}_{k'}^n$ endowed with the sheaf $j_! \mathcal{F}(F_S)$ is constant, that is, isomorphic to the product of S with a scheme endowed with a sheaf. Over $S \times \mathbf{A}_{k'}^n$ this is clear because $j_! \mathcal{F}(F_S)$ is locally constant there. If $h \in V_\infty$ is a closed point and x a closed point on $\{h\} \times S$, then we have the following possibilities:

i) f'_d does not vanish on h .

Then there are local coordinates $t_1, \dots$ in a neighborhood of x such that $F_S = t_1^{-d}$ (use that $\gcd(d, p) = 1$). Since this expression for F_S is independent of the parameters of S , the claim follows.

ii) f'_d vanishes at h , but h is not a singular point of $f'_d = 0$.

Then there is an étale S -morphism φ from an étale neighborhood of p into $S \times \mathbf{A}_{k'}^n$ such that $F_S \circ \varphi = t_1^{-d} t_2$, where $t_1, t_2, \dots$ are local coordinates on that étale neighborhood.

iii) h is a singular point of $f'_d = 0$.

In an affine neighborhood of p we have:

$$F_S = (\alpha_d + t\alpha_{d-1} + t^2\alpha_{d-2} + \dots)t^{-d}$$

where $t, \dots$ are local coordinates, $\alpha_d \in m_x^2$, $\alpha_{d-1} \notin m_x$ (m_x being the maximal ideal corresponding to x) and α_d is independent of the parameters of S (since the highest degree form is fixed in all polynomials corresponding to points of S). Let $f_1 = \alpha_d t^{-d}$, $f_2 = t^{-d+1}(\alpha_{d-1} + t\alpha_{d-2} + \dots)$. Then, in a neighborhood of x we have $F_S = f_1 + f_2$. From this equality follows (cf. e.g. [10, (2.1.3)]) that locally $j_! \mathcal{F}(F_S) = j_! \mathcal{F}(f_1) \otimes j_! \mathcal{F}(f_2)$. Now it suffices to prove that $j_! \mathcal{F}(f_2)$ is constant as an S -sheaf. But this follows from the fact that after a change of coordinates, one has $f_2 = t_1^{-d+1}$, t_1 a local coordinate.

Now one concludes exactly as in [4, (3.7.3)] by using [7, Th. finitude, (2.16)] and [7, Appendix]. $\square$

Both the polynomials $f' = \varphi(f)$ and g correspond to points on the open set S . But if $s \in S$ and we denote by $F_S(s)$ the corresponding polynomial, the fiber of $R^i\pi_!\mathcal{F}(F_S)$ over s is precisely $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}_{k'}^n, \mathcal{F}(F_S(s)))$. Thus we have that $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f'))$ and $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(g))$, have the same dimension and moreover, by [4, (1.8.12)], if one of them is pure of weight n so is the other. Now from the definition of f' it follows that in order to prove our main result it suffices to prove:

(1.3) **Proposition:** *Let $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial of the form $f = f_d + x_n^{d-1}$ which satisfies the assumptions of (0.4). Assume that the projective hypersurface $\{f_d = 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ intersects the hyperplane $x_n = 0$ transversally. Then conclusions i) and ii) of (0.4) hold for the polynomial f .*

This proposition will be proved in the next two sections.

2. Ramification at infinity.

(2.1) We refer to [12] and [9] for the definition of tame and wild ramification of a sheaf at a point. For future reference we recall that a pro- p -group (i.e., a projective limit of finite p -groups) has no quotients of order prime to p and that any continuous l -adic representation of a pro- p -group P factors through a finite quotient of P (cf. [13, p. 515]).

Let $Z \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{A}^1$ be the hypersurface given by the equation $f_d(x_1, \dots, x_n) + x_n^{d-1}x_0 = sx_0^d$ where $x_0, \dots, x_n$ are homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbf{P}^n$ and s is a coordinate in $\mathbf{A}^1$. The projection map $Z \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ is a compactification of $f : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$, therefore we will denote it by $\bar{f}$. Then we have:

(2.2) **Proposition:** *The sheaves $R^i\bar{f}_*\mathbf{Q}_l$ are tamely ramified at infinity for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof: Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n \times \mathbf{A}^1$ be the hypersurface given by $t(f_d(x_1, \dots, x_n) + x_{n+1}^{d-1}x_0) = x_0^d$, $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ the projection map. It will be enough to see that the sheaves $R^i\pi_*\mathbf{Q}_l$ are tamely ramified at $0 \in \mathbf{A}^1$. Let $\delta : \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ be given by $\delta(t) = t^d$. Denote by X' be the normalization of the fiber product $X \times_\delta \mathbf{A}^1$ and by $\pi' : X' \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ the projection map. Since $\gcd(d, p) = 1$ and

the wild part of the inertia group of $0 \in \mathbf{A}^1$ is a pro-p-group, by (2.1) it will be enough to show that the sheaves $R^i \pi'_* \mathbf{Q}_l$ are tamely ramified at the origin.

Let $S = \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{A}^1, 0}$ be the spectrum of the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1, 0}$, let $\mu \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbf{A}^1, 0}$ be a uniformizing parameter, so we have $S \simeq \text{Spec } K[[\mu]]$. Denote again by $\pi' : X' \rightarrow S$ the map obtained from π' above by base change. Let s (resp. η) denote the closed (resp. the generic) point of S . Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point localized at η . Let Y_s denote the fiber of π' over s , $Y_{\bar{\eta}}$ the fiber over $\bar{\eta}$. From the Leray spectral sequence for $Y_{\bar{\eta}} \rightarrow X'$ one gets a vanishing cycles spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_c^p(Y_s, R^q \Phi_\eta(\mathbf{Q}_l)) \Rightarrow H_c^{p+q}(Y_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l),$$

equivariant with respect to the action of the inertia group $I = \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)$. We want to show that the action of the wild part $P \subset I$ is trivial on $H_c^*(Y_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l)$. Following Katz (cf. [9, pp 176-180]), in order to prove this we will show that the action of P is trivial on the sheaves $R^q \Phi_\eta(\mathbf{Q}_l)$. This implies (via the spectral sequence above) that the action of P on $H_c^{p+q}(Y_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ is nilpotent. Since this action factors through a finite quotient of P , it must be semisimple and therefore trivial.

Let $z \in Y_s$ be a closed point. If z is not a singular point of Y_s , then

$$(R^q \Phi_\eta(\mathbf{Q}_l))_z \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q > 0 \\ \mathbf{Q}_l & \text{if } q = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the action of I is trivial. In general one has

$$(R^q \Phi_\eta(\mathbf{Q}_l))_z \simeq H_c^q(X'_{(z)\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l)$$

where $X'_{(z)\bar{\eta}}$ is the fiber over $\bar{\eta}$ of the henselianization $X'_{(z)}$ of Y at z (the “local Milnor fiber”). Notice that X' is isomorphic to the hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}_S^n$ given by $\{f_d(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) + \mu x_{n+1}^{d-1} x_0 - x_0^d = 0\}$. Under this isomorphism, a (closed) singular point z of Y_s corresponds to a point $((0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n), s) \in \mathbf{P}^n \times S$, where $x = (x_1 : \dots : x_n)$ is a singular point of X_f^d . Let g be a local equation defining the germ (X_f^d, x) which is a weighted homogeneous polynomial with weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and total degree δ . Then one has an isomorphism

$$X'_{(z)} \simeq \text{Spec} \left(\frac{K\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}{g + \mu x_0 - x_0^d} \right)$$

Let T be an indeterminate, let X_j ($j = 1, 2$) be the $K(T)[[\mu]]$ -schema obtained from X' by the base change $\theta_j : K[[\mu]] \rightarrow K(T)[[\mu]]$ defined by

$$\theta_j = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } j = 1 \\ T^{(d-1)\delta}\mu & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases}$$

The coordinates change given by:

$$\left. \begin{array}{lcl} T & \mapsto & T \\ \mu & \mapsto & \mu \\ x_0 & \mapsto & x_0 T^\delta \\ x_j & \mapsto & x_j T^{d\alpha_j} \quad j = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

establishes a $K(T)[[\mu]]$ -isomorphism between $X_{1(z_1)}$ and $X_{2(z_2)}$, where z_1 (resp. z_2) is the only $K(T)$ -rational point of X_1 (resp. of X_2) over z . By [10, (9.3.5.2)] (see also [9, pp. 184-187]), the action of the inertia group I over $H_c^*(X'_{(z)\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l)$ factors through the finite cyclic quotient of I of order $(d-1)\delta$. Since we assumed that $\gcd((d-1)\delta, p) = 1$ and P is a pro- p -group, by (2.1) the action of P is trivial and we are done. $\square$

(2.3) **Corollary:** *The sheaves $R^i f_! \mathbf{Q}_l$ are tamely ramified at infinity for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof: Let $X^\infty = X' \cap \{x_0 = 0\}$, let $X^0 = X' - X^\infty$, set $\pi^0 = \pi'|_{X^0}$, $\pi^\infty = \pi'|_{X^\infty}$ (as above, $\pi' : X' \rightarrow S$). In order to prove the corollary it suffices to prove that the sheaves $R^i(\pi^0)_! \mathbf{Q}_l$ are tamely ramified at the closed point of S . There is an exact sequence of sheaves on S :

$$\dots \rightarrow R^{i-1} \pi_*^\infty \mathbf{Q}_l \rightarrow R^i \pi_!^0 \mathbf{Q}_l \rightarrow R^i \pi_*' \mathbf{Q}_l \rightarrow \dots$$

equivariant with respect to the action of the inertia group. One has $X^\infty \simeq X_f^d \times S$ and under this identification π^∞ corresponds to the projection onto S . This implies that the action of the inertia group on $R^{i-1} \pi_*^\infty \mathbf{Q}_l$ is trivial. By the proof of the proposition above, the action of the wild part $P \subset I$ is trivial on $R^i \pi_*' \mathbf{Q}_l$. Again since P is a pro- p -group, its action on $R^i \pi_!^0 \mathbf{Q}_l$ is semisimple and then, in view of the exact sequence above, is trivial. $\square$

3. Cohomology computations and end of the proof.

We first recall the following proposition, which is a direct consequence of Proposition (3.1) in [6]:

(3.1) **Proposition:** *Let Y be a smooth K -scheme of pure dimension n , $g : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ a proper K -morphism. Suppose that g is smooth outside a finite number of points and $R^i g_* \mathbf{Q}_l$ has tame ramification at infinity for all $i \geq 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^i(Z, g^* \mathcal{L}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq n, \text{ and} \\ H_c^n(Z, g^* \mathcal{L}) &\quad \text{is pure of weight } n \end{aligned}$$

(In [6] it is assumed that both Y and g are defined over k , but the proof works also over K).

We want to apply (3.1) to $\bar{f} : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ (cf. section 2). In order to do this we prove the following two lemmas:

(3.2) **Lemma:** *Let $f = f_d + x_n^{d-1} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial of degree d and assume that $\gcd(p, d-1) = 1$ (as before, $p = \text{char}(K)$). If the hyperplane $\{x_n = 0\}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ intersects the hypersurface $\{f_d = 0\}$ transversally, then the map $f : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ is smooth outside a finite number of points.*

Proof: Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ denote the critical variety of $f : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$. Let $V \subseteq \mathbf{A}^n$ be the subscheme defined by the equations

$$\frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_{n-1}} = 0.$$

We claim that $\dim V \leq 1$. Since V is a cone with vertex at the origin it will be enough to see that the intersection $V \cap \{x_n = 0\}$ reduces to $0 \in \mathbf{A}^n$. Let $W = \{f_d = 0\} \cap \{x_n = 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. Then $V \cap \{x_n = 0\}$ is the affine cone over the singular locus of W . But since the intersection of $\{f_d = 0\}$ and $\{x_n = 0\}$ is transversal, W is smooth and $V \cap \{x_n = 0\} = \{0\}$.

If $V = \{0\}$ we are done, otherwise it will be a union of lines through the origin. Assume now that $\dim \Sigma \geq 1$. Then the affine hypersurface $\frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_n} + (d-1)x_n^{d-2} =$

0 contains at least one line $l \subseteq V$. This line cannot be contained in the hyperplane $x_n = 0$, so it will be given by equations

$$\left. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & = & \alpha_1 x_n \\ & \vdots & \\ x_{n-1} & = & \alpha_{n-1} x_n \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in K$. Since $\frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_n}$ is homogeneous of degree $d-1$, substituting on $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ we have:

$$x_n^{d-2} \left(x_n \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_n}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 1) + (d-1) \right) \equiv 0$$

which implies $d-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and this is impossible since $\gcd(p, d-1) = 1$. $\square$

(3.3) *Remark:* In characteristic zero, it is easy to see that the conclusion of the lemma above holds under the weaker assumption that the hyperplane $x_n = 0$ does not intersect the singular locus of the projective hypersurface $f_d = 0$.

In positive characteristic, the lemma does not hold without the assumption $\gcd(p, d-1) = 1$ (Consider for example the polynomial $x^{p+1} + y^p \in K[x, y]$, $\text{char}(K) = p$).

(3.4) **Lemma:** *Let $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial verifying the assumptions of the preceding lemma. Let $\bar{f} : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ be the compactification of f introduced in section 2. Then $\bar{f}$ has a finite number of critical points.*

Proof: Let $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be a (closed) critical point of $\bar{f}$. If $x_0 \neq 0$ then x corresponds to a critical point of f via

$$\begin{aligned} i : \mathbf{A}^n &\hookrightarrow Z \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\mapsto (1, x_1, \dots, x_n, f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

and we know from (3.3) that there is a finite number of them. If $x_0 = 0$ then one must have $\frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_1}(x) = \dots = \frac{\partial f_d}{\partial x_n}(x) = x_n = 0$, which contradicts our assumptions on f . $\square$

(3.5) **Lemma:** *Let $Z^\infty = Z \cap \{x_0 = 0\}$. Then:*

$$H_c^k(Z^\infty, \bar{f}^* \mathcal{L}|_{Z^\infty}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

Proof: We have that $Z^\infty \simeq X_f^d \times \mathbf{A}^1$, where $X_f^d = \{f_d = 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. and under this identification $\bar{f}|_{Z^\infty}$ corresponds to the projection onto $\mathbf{A}^1$. One has:

$$H_c^k(Z^\infty, \bar{f}^* \mathcal{L}|_{Z^\infty}) = H_c^k(Z^\infty, (\bar{f}|_{Z^\infty})^* \mathcal{L}) = H_c^k(X_f^d \times \mathbf{A}^1, (\bar{f}|_{Z^\infty})^* \mathcal{L}) = ,$$

and by the Künneth formula,

$$= \oplus_{i+j=k} H_c^i(X_f^d, E_\lambda) \otimes H_c^j(\mathbf{A}^1, \mathcal{L})$$

But since the character Ψ is non-trivial, $H_c^j(\mathbf{A}^1, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$ ([9, pg. 99, Lemme], thus we are done. $\square$

(3.6) We have an exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, i^* \bar{f}^* \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_c^i(Z, \bar{f}^* \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_c^i(Z^\infty, \bar{f}^* \mathcal{L}|_{Z^\infty}) \dots$$

Since $\bar{f} \circ i = f$, we have $i^* \bar{f}^* \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}(f)$, thus from proposition (3.1), lemmas (3.4), (3.5) and this sequence it follows that $H_c^i(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) = 0$ if $i \neq n$ and $H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f))$ is pure of weight n . It remains to compute its dimension. If X is a K -scheme and $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathbf{Q}_l$ -sheaf over X , set:

$$\chi_c(X, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_l} H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

We will use the following proposition:

(3.7) **Proposition** ([9, pg. 156]): *Let $f : \mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ be a morphism such that $R^i f_! \mathbf{Q}_l$ has tame ramification at infinity for all $i \geq 0$. Then:*

$$\chi_c(\mathbf{A}^n, f^* \mathcal{L}) = 1 - \chi_c(f^{-1}(\bar{\eta}), \mathbf{Q}_l),$$

where $\bar{\eta}$ is a generic geometric point of $\mathbf{A}^1$.

(3.8) Assume now that $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial satisfying the assumptions of (1.3). Notice that $X_f^d = Z^\infty \cap \bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\eta})$. From the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^i(\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\eta}), \mathbf{Q}_l) \rightarrow H_c^i(X_f^d, \mathbf{Q}_l) \rightarrow H_c^{i+1}(f^{-1}(\bar{\eta}), \mathbf{Q}_l) \rightarrow \dots$$

follows that $\chi_c(f^{-1}(\bar{\eta}), \mathbf{Q}_l) = \chi_c(\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\eta}), \mathbf{Q}_l) - \chi_c(X_f^d, \mathbf{Q}_l)$. Also, $\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\eta})$ is a smooth projective hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbf{P}^n$, thus its Euler characteristic can be computed, say by comparison methods, and it is well known to be:

$$\chi_c(\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\eta}), \mathbf{Q}_l) = \frac{1}{d}[(1-d)^{n+1} - 1] + n + 1.$$

Thus it remains only to compute the Euler characteristic of X_f^d .

(3.9) **Lemma:** *In the situation described above, and with the same notations,*

$$\chi_c(X_f^d, \mathbf{Q}_l) = \frac{1}{d}[(1-d)^n - 1] + n + (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^s \mu_j.$$

where the μ_j ($1 \leq j \leq s$) are the Milnor numbers (cf. (0.3)) of the singular points of X_f^d .

Proof: Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$ be a flat, proper K -morphism, where X is a smooth K -scheme, S is the spectrum of a strictly henselian ring with residue field K and generic point η , the fiber of φ over a generic geometric point $\bar{\eta}$ is a smooth projective hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and the special fiber is isomorphic to X_f^d (The existence of such a φ can be proved as follows: One considers the universal family $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ of smooth projective hypersurfaces of degree d in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, the hypersurface X_f^d corresponds to a point x^∞ on the discriminant locus, one takes then a “transversal slice”, i.e. $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, x^\infty}/I)^{sh}$ (sh denotes strict henselianization), where $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, x^\infty}$ is an ideal defining a smooth curve in $\mathbf{P}^N$ which intersects transversally the discriminant locus at x^∞ . The map φ is then obtained by base change, details are left to the reader).

In this situation we have an exact sequence of vanishing cycles (cf. [5, Exposé XIII, (2.1.8.9)]):

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(X_f^d, \mathbf{Q}_l) \rightarrow H^i(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^i(X_f^d, R\Phi_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbf{Q}_l)) \rightarrow \dots$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}^i(X_f^d, R\Phi_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbf{Q}_l)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s (R^i\Phi_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbf{Q}_l))_{\bar{x}_j},$$

where $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_s$ are geometric points over the singular points of X_f^d . Thus,

$$\chi_c(X_f^d, \mathbf{Q}_l) = \chi_c(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbf{Q}_l) - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_i (-1)^i \dim (R^i \Phi_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbf{Q}_l))_{\bar{x}_j}.$$

On the spaces $(R^i \Phi_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbf{Q}_l))_{\bar{x}_j}$ there is an action of the inertia group $I = \text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)$ and, since the singularities of X_f^d are weighted homogeneous with total degrees $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s$ and $\gcd(p, \delta_1 \dots \delta_s) = 1$, one proves as in proposition (2.3) that this action is moderate (i.e., that the action of the wild part $P \subset I$ is trivial). It follows then from [5, Exposé XVI, Théorème 2.4] that

$$(-1)^n \sum_i (-1)^i R^i \Phi_{\bar{\eta}}(\mathbf{Q}_l)_{\bar{x}_j} = \mu_j,$$

where μ_j is the Milnor number of the germ (X_f^d, x_j) . Now the Euler characteristic of $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ can be easily computed as in (3.8) above (notice that the dimension of $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ is one less than that of $\bar{f}^{-1}(\bar{\eta})$), and then the lemma is proved. $\square$

(3.10) It follows from (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\dim H_c^n(\mathbf{A}^n, \mathcal{F}(f)) = (d-1)^n - \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i.$$

In view of (1.3), this ends the proof of the main theorem (0.4).

References

- [1] A. Adolphson and S. Sperber Exponential sums and Newton polyedra: Cohomology and estimates. *Annals of Math.*, 130:367–406, 1989.
- [2] M. Artin. Coverings of the Rational Double Points in Characteristic p . In W.L. Baily and T. Shioda, editors, *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry*, pages 11–22. Cambridge, 1977.
- [3] P. Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. I. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 43:273–307, 1974.
- [4] P. Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. II. *Publ. Math. IHES*, 52:137–252, 1980.
- [5] P. Deligne and N. Katz. *Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique, SGA 7 II*, volume 340 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Verlag, 1973.

- [6] J. Denef and F. Loeser. Weights of Exponential sums, Intersection Cohomology and Newton Polyedra. *Invent. Math.*, 106:275–294, 1991.
- [7] P. Deligne et al. *Cohomologie Etale, SGA 4 1/2*, volume 569 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Verlag, 1977.
- [8] R. García and A. Némethi. On the Monodromy at Infinity of a Polynomial Map. *Compos. Math.*, 100:205–231, 1996.
- [9] N. Katz. *Sommes exponentielles*, volume 79 of *Astérisque*. Soc. Math. de France, 1981.
- [10] G. Laumon. Majoration de sommes exponentielles attachées aux hypersurfaces diagonales. *Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4e. serie*, 16:1–58, 1983.
- [11] E. Loojienga. *Isolated Singular Points on Complete Intersections*, volume 77 of *London. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series*. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [12] M. Raynaud. Caractéristique d’Euler-Poincaré d’un faisceau et cohomologie des variétés abéliennes. Séminaire Bourbaki 1964/65, exposé 286.
- [13] J.P. Serre and J. Tate. Good reduction of abelian varieties. *Annals of Math.*, 88:492–517, 1968.

Departamento de Algebra y Geometría, Universidad de Barcelona. Gran Vía, 585. E-08007 Barcelona, Spain. e-mail adress: rgarcia@cerber.mat.ub.es